

record, other than the double patenting rejection ...” The Examiner further states that a “timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application.”

Applicants enclose a terminal disclaimer to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-12 over the claims 1-11 of the '995 patent. Applicants request, therefore, that this rejection be withdrawn.

Applicants believe that the application is in condition for allowance and respectfully request the same.

Respectfully submitted,

**Yunlong Sun and Edward J. Swenson**

By Michael L. Levine

Michael L. Levine

Registration No. 33,947

STOEL RIVES LLP  
900 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300  
Portland, Oregon 97204-1268  
Telephone: (503) 224-3380  
Facsimile: (503) 220-2480  
Attorney Docket No. 26860/33:43 D USA